1	EDNA GARCIA EARLEY, Bar No. 195661 STATE OF CALIFORNIA
2	
3	320 W. 4th Street, Suite 430
4	Los Angeles, California 90013 Telephone: (213) 897-1511 Ferenimila: (212) 897-2877
5	
6	Attorney for the Labor Commissioner
.7	
8	BEFORE THE LABOR COMMISSIONER
9	OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
10	
11	GLENN KAUFFMAN, CASE NO. TAC 11320
12	DETERMINATION OF CONTROVERSY
13	Petitioner,
14	VS
15	
16	NOUVEAU MODEL AND TALENT
17_	MANAGEMENT, INC.
	Respondent.
19	
20	The above-captioned matter, a Petition to Determine Controversy under
21	Labor Code §1700.44, came on regularly for hearing on May 28, 2009 in Los Angeles,
22	California, before the undersigned attorney for the Labor Commissioner assigned to hear
23	this case. Petitioner GLENN KAUFFMAN ("Petitioner") appeared in pro per.
24	Respondent NOUVEAU MODEL AND TALENT MANAGEMENT, INC., who, until
25	recently, was licensed as a talent agency, appeared through its CEO, Peter W. Hamm
26	("Respondent").
27	The parties stipulated that Respondent owes Petitioner GLENN
28	KAUFFMAN, a model and therefore an "artist" under the Talent Agencies Act, Seven
	1

•

7

. fr

1	Hundred Fifty-Nine Dollars and Twenty Cents (\$759.20) in unpaid earnings and
2	
3	
4	
. 5	ORDER
6	Based on the parties' stipulation, we hereby ORDER that Petitioner GLENN
7	KAUFFMAN is entitled to \$759.20 in unpaid earnings and expenses plus \$96.93 in
8	interest (calculated at the legal rate of 10% from February 17, 2008 to the date of this
9	determination, May 28, 2009) pursuant to Labor Code §1700.25(e)(2) for a total of
10	<u>\$856.13.</u>
11	Petitioner GLENN KAUFFMAN is also entitled to recover from the
12	\$50,000 bond posted by Respondent with the Labor Commissioner as a condition of being
13	licensed as a talent agent.
14	
····	DATED: May 28 2000 Damoathilly autoritied
15	DATED: May 28, 2009 Respectfully submitted,
15 16	DATED. Way 20, 2009 Respectivity submitted,
	Í de colora Tol
16	By: <u>HMALMAATA</u> EDNA GARCIA EARLEY
16 17	By: Edwalancia Euler
16 17 	By: <u>HMALMAATA</u> EDNA GARCIA EARLEY
16 17 18 19 20 21	By: <u>HUMANAUEuler</u> EDNA GARCIA EARLEY Attorneys for the Labor Commissioner
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	By: <u>HMALMAATA</u> EDNA GARCIA EARLEY
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	By: <u>HUMANAUEuler</u> EDNA GARCIA EARLEY Attorneys for the Labor Commissioner
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	By: <u>EUMADQUATEACCE</u> EDNA GARCIA EARLEY Attorneys for the Labor Commissioner ADOPTED AS THE DETERMINATION OF THE LABOR COMMISSIONER Dated: A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	By: <u>EUMADAMATA</u> EDNA GARCIA EARLEY Attorneys for the Labor Commissioner ADOPTED AS THE DETERMINATION OF THE LABOR COMMISSIONER Dated: <u>May 29, 2009</u> By: <u>Angel Britely</u> ANGELA BRADSTREET
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	By: <u>EUMADQUATEACCE</u> EDNA GARCIA EARLEY Attorneys for the Labor Commissioner ADOPTED AS THE DETERMINATION OF THE LABOR COMMISSIONER Dated: A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A
16 17 -18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	By: <u>EUMADAMATA</u> EDNA GARCIA EARLEY Attorneys for the Labor Commissioner ADOPTED AS THE DETERMINATION OF THE LABOR COMMISSIONER Dated: <u>May 29, 2009</u> By: <u>Angel Britely</u> ANGELA BRADSTREET
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	By: <u>EUMADAMATA</u> EDNA GARCIA EARLEY Attorneys for the Labor Commissioner ADOPTED AS THE DETERMINATION OF THE LABOR COMMISSIONER Dated: <u>May 29, 2009</u> By: <u>Angel Britely</u> ANGELA BRADSTREET

'n

DETERMINATION OF CONTROVERSY - TAC 11320